

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,899	05/24/2001	Gerard Reynaud	208822US6XPC	3169
22850	7590 08/13/2003			
•	•	ND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE : ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		EREZO, DA	ARWIN P
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

8c	
GERARD	
ce address	
OWANCE. per reply to a application in lest for Continued	
vhichever is later. In no	
n. ΓΙΟΝ. See MPEP	
propriate extension fee riate extension fee under stion; or (2) as set forth in ely filed, may reduce any	
set forth in	
elow);	
ng or simplifying the	
d claims.	
ly filed amendment	
es NOT place the	
ich were newly	
itered and an ed.	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/831,899	REYNAUD, GERARD
Examiner	Art Unit
Darwin P. Erezo	3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A prop final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requirement (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 June 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-18</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments with regards to the Kettle patent failing to disclose a tubular mouth-piece is not persuasive. Though Kettle does not recite the tubular member (12) as a tubular mouthpiece, the tubular member (12) is structurally similar to the tubular mouthpiece of the instant application. Furthermore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).